PSJ3 Exhibit 213D

Supreme Court Case

- United States v. Moore 423 U.S. 122 (1975)
 - Usual course of professional practice
 - · Patient with a Medical Complaint
 - History
 - Physical Examination
 - Nexus Between Complaint/History/Exam and Drug Prescribed

DEA Internet Policy

- 66 FR 21,181 (2001)
- Prescriptions can only be issued by a doctor acting in the usual course of professional practice
- Prescriptions not issued in the usual course of professional practice are not valid
- An Internet questionnaire alone is not sufficient to legally prescribe controlled substances

VIPPS

- National Association of Boards of Pharmacy
 - Licensed, legitimate, Inspection
 - Verified Internet Pharmacy Practice Sites
 - 14 VIPPS Approved Pharmacies as of 06-27-2005
 - www.nabp.net/vipps/consumer/faq.asp

American Medical Association

- H-120.949 Guidance for Physicians on Internet Prescribing
 - valid patient-physician relationship, includes, but not limited to:
 - History and physical exam
 - Dialogue with patient
 - Follow up to assess outcome
 - Maintain medical record
 - Include electronic prescription in patient's medical record

Federation of State Medical Boards

- Created Model Guidelines for the Appropriate use of the Internet in Medical Purpose
 - Treatment and consultation made in an online setting will be held to same standard as faceto-face settings.
 - Treatment based solely on an online questionnaire is not acceptable

Suspicious Orders

- 21 CFR 1301.74
- Requires that registrants design and operate a system to identify suspicious orders
- Report suspicious orders to DEA when discovered

Suspicious Orders

 Reporting a suspicious order to DEA does NOT relieve the distributor of the responsibility to maintain effective controls against diversion

Suspicious Orders

- DEA cannot tell a distributor if an order is legitimate or not
- Distributor must determine which orders are suspicious and make a sales decision











